metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Reinald Fischer, Dirk Walther and Wolfgang Imhof*

Institut für Anorganische und Analytische Chemie, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, August-Bebel-Strasse 2, 07743 Jena, Germany

Correspondence e-mail: wolfgang.imhof@uni-jena.de

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 183 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.013 Å R factor = 0.041 wR factor = 0.110 Data-to-parameter ratio = 19.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Bis[*N*,*N*'-bis(trimethylsilyl)benzamidinato]diethylhafnium(IV)

In the title compound, $[Hf(C_2H_5)_2(C_{13}H_{23}N_2Si_2)_2]$, the Hf atom is coordinated by two ethyl groups and two bis(trimethylsilyl)benzamidinate (siam) ligands binding in a bidentate coordination mode *via* their N-donor atoms. The coordination around hafnium is best described as slightly distorted tetrahedral if the siam ligands are represented by their centroids.

Received 7 February 2006 Accepted 16 February 2006 Online 22 February 2006

Comment

The zirconium-catalyzed carbomagnesation of olefins by EtMgCl has become a well established method for the synthesis of special Grignard reagents such as chiral compounds or cyclic di-Grignard compounds from α,ω -dienes (Dzhemilev *et al.*, 1983; Morken *et al.*, 1993; Knight *et al.*, 1994). If bis(cyclopentadienyl)–zirconium compounds are used as the catalytically active species, the reaction is believed to proceed *via* the initial formation of Cp₂ZrEt₂, which, owing to the elimination of ethane, produces a complex Cp₂Zr(η^2 -C₂H₄). The side-on coordinated ethylene ligand is then coupled with another alkene to produce a zirconacyclopentane derivative, which is attacked by EtMgX to yield the catalyst Cp₂Zr(η^2 -C₂H₄), as well as the new Grignard reagent with an ethyl group and the MgX group attached to the former double bond (Negishi & Kondakov, 1996).



Benzamidinate ligands have frequently been used as substitutes for cyclopentadienyl ligands in order to achieve variations of reactions catalyzed by Cp₂Zr-based compounds (for recent examples *cf.* Ray *et al.*, 2003; Volkis *et al.*, 2003; Averbuj & Eisen, 1999; Herskovics-Korine & Eisen, 1995; Flores *et al.*, 1995). In the course of these investigations a number of the above-mentioned intermediates of the catalytic cycle have been isolated and characterized (Hagadorn & Arnold, 1997; Walther *et al.*, 1996). Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, the title compound is still the only structurally characterized (siam)₂ MR_2 [siam is bis(trimethylsilyl)benzamid-

inate, and M = Ti, Zr and Hf] derivative that exhibits β -H atoms in two distinct metal-bound alkyl substituents. The only other complex that comes close to this binding mode is a

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography

Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved



Figure 1

Molecular structure of the title compound, with displacement parameters drawn at the 40% probability level.

zirconacyclopentane compound that possesses β -H atoms, although β -hydride elimination is hampered by the cyclic nature of the ligand (Hagadorn & Arnold, 1997). All the other structurally characterized derivatives show benzyl (Bazinet *et al.*, 2003; Munslow *et al.*, 2004; Hagadorn, 2001; Duncan *et al.*, 2001; Walther *et al.*, 1996; Coles & Hitchcock, 2003; Ong *et al.*, 2003; Westmoreland *et al.*, 2004) or methyl (Hagadorn & Arnold, 1997; Littke *et al.*, 1998) groups attached to the group 4 metal.

The synthesis of the title compound is realized by the treatment of (siam)₂HfCl₂ with two equivalents of EtMgCl in tetrahydrofuran at low temperatures (see scheme). Recrystallization of the title compound from n-hexane at 243 K yielded single crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography, which had to be handled at temperatures below 253 K throughout the preparation of the crystal and the collection of intensity data in order to prevent the loss of solvent from the crystalline material. If the two components are reacted in a 1:1 ratio only one of the chloride ligands is substituted and $(siam)_2$ HfCl (C_2H_5) is obtained as the sole reaction product. Both the mono- and the diethyl compound are stable in solution at room temperature, demonstrating that β -hydride elimination is not a suitable reaction pathway for these hafnium species, in contrast to the comparable Zr compounds (Negishi & Kondakov, 1996).

Fig. 1 shows the molecular structure of $(siam)_2$ Hf(C₂H₅)₂. The Hf atom is tetrahedrally surrounded by the two siam ligands and the two ethyl groups (Table 1). The Hf—N bond lengths all lie in the range 2.255 (6)–2.279 (6) Å. The C—N bond lengths in the delocalized amidinate units (N1, C1, N2 and N3, C14, N4) have values of between 1.316 (9) and 1.334 (9) Å and are therefore virtually identical. The C1–C2 and C14–C15 bonds of the central C atoms of the benzamidinate ligands with the *ipso* C atom of the phenyl substituents are 1.531 (10) and 1.505 (10) Å, respectively. Although these values are quite high for a bond between two *sp*²-hybridized C atoms, bond lengths of more than 1.5 Å are normal for benzamidinate ligands owing to the binding of the central C atom to the negatively charged N atoms (Hagadorn & Arnold, 1997; Walther *et al.*, 1996). The Hf–C bond lengths of the

ethyl substituents show values of 2.244 (7) (C27-Hf) and 2.261 (8) Å (C29-Hf). Despite the bulky trimethylsilyl substituents of the siam ligands the ethyl groups adopt a conformation leading to a C28-C27-C29-C30 torsion angle of 179.6 $(7)^{\circ}$. The crystal structure of the title compound still exhibits large solvent-accessible voids and quite high electron density peaks situated in those voids. The heights of these peaks correspond to C atoms with site occupation factors far below 1. Since there are no bond lengths and angles that make any chemical sense it was unfortunately not possible to assign atom types to the electron density peaks. Corresponding to the experimentally observed fact that the crystalline material suffers loss of hexane if the temperature rises to 253 K, the electron density in the voids might be interpreted as very diffuse and extremely weakly bound solvent molecules, which are not included in the structural model.

Experimental

To a stirred suspension of (siam)₂HfCl₂ (3.8 g, 4.90 mmol) in diethyl ether (50 ml) a 2 *M* solution of EtMgCl (5.0 ml) in diethyl ether (10.0 mmol) was added dropwise at 223 K. After the addition of the Grignard reagent was complete, the solution was allowed to reach room temperature, leading to the precipitation of MgCl₂, which was completed by adding dioxane (1.3 ml). After filtration and washing of the filtration residue with diethyl ether (100 ml) the combined solutions were dried *in vacuo*. The solid residue was recrystallized from *n*-hexane at 243 K yielding colorless crystalline material (yield 1.77 g, 47.3%). ¹H NMR (298 K, C₆D₆, p.p.m.): 0.12 (*s*, 36H, SiMe₃), 1.07 (*q*, ³J_{HH} = 8.0 Hz, 4H, CH₂), 2.12 (*t*, ³J_{HH} = 8.0 Hz, 6H, CH₃), 6.94–7.29 (*m*, 10H, Ph); ¹³C NMR (298 K, C₆D₆, p.p.m.): 2.2 (SiMe₃), 15.2 (CH₃), 66.1 (CH₂), 126.3, 128.3, 128.9, 141.7 (Ph), 184.8 (CN₂). Elemental analysis calculated for C₃₀H₅₆HfN₄Si₄: C 47.18, H 7.39, N 7.34%; found: C 46.97, H 7.32, N 7.22%.

Crystal data

$[Hf(C_2H_5)_2(C_{13}H_{23}N_2Si_2)_2]$	$D_x = 1.182 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 763.64$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Cell parameters from 25
a = 12.205 (2) Å	reflections
b = 20.790 (4) Å	$\theta = 18.5 - 20.1^{\circ}$
c = 17.234 (3) Å	$\mu = 2.54 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 101.00 \ (3)^{\circ}$	T = 183 (2) K
$V = 4292.6 (13) \text{ Å}^3$	Cuboid, colorless
Z = 4	$0.12 \times 0.08 \times 0.08 \ \text{mm}$
Data collection	
Enraf-Nonius CAD-4	$R_{\rm c} = 0.039$

Linai–Nollius CAD-4	$\Lambda_{\text{int}} = 0.039$
diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 24.6^{\circ}$
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$h = -14 \rightarrow 0$
Absorption correction: ψ scan	$k = 0 \rightarrow 24$
(North et al., 1968)	$l = -19 \rightarrow 20$
$T_{\min} = 0.747, \ T_{\max} = 0.813$	3 standard reflections
7584 measured reflections	frequency: 60 min
7229 independent reflections	intensity decay: 2.2%
5285 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0435P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.041$	+ 17.7042P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.110$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.21	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
7229 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.95 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
366 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.78 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

Table 1	
Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).	

Hf1-C27	2.244 (7)	N1-C1	1.320 (9)
Hf1-C29	2.261 (8)	C1-N2	1.331 (9)
Hf1-N1	2.255 (6)	C1-C2	1.531 (10)
Hf1-N4	2.256 (5)	N3-C14	1.316 (9)
Hf1-N3	2.258 (6)	C14-N4	1.334 (9)
Hf1-N2	2.279 (6)	C14-C15	1.505 (10)
Hf1-C1	2.634 (7)	C27-C28	1.544 (11)
Hf1-C14	2.646 (7)	C29-C30	1.509 (12)
C27-Hf1-C29	98.1 (3)	C29-Hf1-C14	116.2 (3)
C27-Hf1-C1	116.6 (3)	C1-Hf1-C14	127.7 (2)
C29-Hf1-C1	94.7 (3)	C28-C27-Hf1	115.7 (5)
C27-Hf1-C14	100.5 (2)	C30-C29-Hf1	113.1 (6)

All H atoms were placed in idealized positions (C–H = 0.93–0.97 Å). The isotropic displacement parameters were set at 1.2 (CH₂, CH_{ar}) or 1.5 (CH₃) times the U_{eq} value of the corresponding parent atom.

Data collection: *CAD-4 EXPRESS* (Enraf–Nonius, 1994); cell refinement: *SET4* (de Boer & Duisenberg, 1984); data reduction: *MolEN* (Enraf–Nonius, 1990); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS86* (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *XP* (Siemens, 1990); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

The authors greatfully acknowledge financial support by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF).

References

Averbuj, C. & Eisen, M. S. (1999). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121, 8755-8759.

Bazinet, P., Wood, D., Yap, G. P. A. & Richeson, D. S. (2003). Inorg. Chem. 42, 6225–6229.

Boer, J. L. de & Duisenberg, A. J. M. (1984). Acta Cryst. A40, C-410.

- Coles, M. P. & Hitchcock, P. B. (2003). Organometallics, 22, 5201–5211.
- Duncan, A. P., Mullins, S. M., Arnold, J. & Bergman, R. G. (2001). Organometallics, 20, 1808–1819.
- Dzhemilev, U. M., Vostrikova, O. S. & Sultanov, R. M. (1983). *Izv. Akad. Nauk* SSSR Ser. Chim. pp. 218–220. (In Russian.)
- Enraf-Nonius (1990). MolEN. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Enraf-Nonius (1994). CAD-4 EXPRESS. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Flores, J. C., Chien, J. C. W. & Ruasch, M. D. (1995). Organometallics, 14, 1827– 1833.
- Hagadorn, J. R. (2001). Chem. Commun. pp. 2144-2145.
- Hagadorn, J. R. & Arnold, J. (1997). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 3087-3096.
- Herskovics-Korine, D. & Eisen, M. S. (1995). J. Organomet. Chem. 503, 307–314.
- Knight, K. S., Wang, D., Raymouth, R. M. & Ziller, J. (1994). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116, 1845–1854.
- Littke, A., Sleiman, N., Bensimon, C., Darrin, S., Yap, G. P. A. & Brown, S. J. (1998). Organometallics, 17, 446–451.
- Morken, J. P., Diduik, M. T. & Hoveyda, A. H. (1993). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115, 6997–6998.
- Munslow, I. J., Wade, A. R., Deeth, R. J. & Scott, P. (2004). Chem. Commun. pp. 2596–2597.
- Negishi, E. & Kondakov, D. Y. (1996). Chem. Soc. Rev. 25, 417-426.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351– 359.
- Ong, T.-G., Yap, G. P. A. & Richeson, D. S. (2003). Organometallics, 22, 387–389.
- Ray, B., Volkis, V., Lisovskii, A. & Eisen, M. S. (2003). Isr. J. Chem. 42, 333–342.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1990). XP. Version 4.2. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Volkis, V., Nelkenbaum, E., Lisovskii, A., Hasson, G., Semiat, R., Kapon, M., Botohansky, M., Eishen, Y. & Eisen, M. S. (2003). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 125, 2179–2194.
- Walther, D., Fischer, R., Görls, H., Koch, J. & Schweder, B. (1996). J. Organomet. Chem. 508, 13–22.
- Westmoreland, I., Munslow, I. J., Clarke, A. J., Clarkson, G. & Scott, P. (2004). Organometallics, 23, 5066–5074.